**Attachment 17: Past Performance Relevant Experience Form (Document Verification)**

**Part 1: Project Identification (To be filled out by the Offeror)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Offeror Name: | Technuf LLC |
| Contractor Name if other than Offeror (Restricted Pool Only): | Click here to enter text. |
| Contract Number: | TIRNO-17-00066 |
| Order Number (if applicable) | Click here to enter text. |
| Project Title: | RSA Archer Cybersecurity Project |
| Short Project Description | Technuf has been providing support to the IRS in maintaining existing solutions, designing and tailoring new and existing Archer components/solutions, supporting and standing up Archer instances, cleaning data and loading it into Archer and preparing documentation to support all efforts of Archer for Governance, Risk and Compliance (eGRC). Technuf utilizes the existing solutions and data available and expand the IRS capabilities to meet other key requirements to be able to monitor FISMA reportable systems with the intent to link system data to Plan of Action and Milestone (POAM) data to Risk Based Decisions to enhance the Cybersecurity Dashboard. Another effort is to stand up the CDM instance to meet established DHS-CDM requirements. Technuf support will be utilized to ensure continuity of existing solutions, as needed refresh design of exiting solutions as requirements change, expand existing services and support other IRS requirements and dashboard integration and workflow needs within Archer. In addition, Technuf supports any Archer upgrades, and perform the installation and integration work corresponding with continuous monitoring, reporting and mitigation efforts as implemented by the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Continuous Diagnostics and Monitoring (CDM) effort mentioned above. CDM complements the overall IRS security tool strategy, and provides continuous monitoring, diagnosis, and mitigation capabilities designed to strengthen the security posture of the federal government networks and systems. A view of the IRS assets from the perspective of the tools needs to be depicted via Archer for CDM. |
| Prime or Subcontractor: | Prime |
| Contract Type: | Firm-Fixed Price |
| Period of Performance (PoP): | 09/2017 through 09/2020 |
| PoP if all options are exercised: | Base + 2 |
| Obligated Amount: | $7,296,788.32 |
| Base and All Options Value (Total Contract Value): | $7,296,788.32 |
| NAICS: | 541512, 541513, 541519, 518210, 541330, 541511 |
| PSC Code | D310, D306, D307, D308 |

**Part 2: Past Performance Reference Information**

1. **Contracting Officer (or Corporate Official for Commercial Experience)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name: | John Boyle |
| Title: | Cybersecurity, IT Projects |
| Agency or Customer: | Treasury – Internal Revenue Service |
| Phone: | 240-613-5308 |
| Email: | John.r.boyle2@irs.gov |
| Verification Method –  Choose One | CPAR Attached  Signature by Contracting Officer or Corporate Official certifies accuracy of ratings in Part 3  Signature by Contracting Officer’s Representative or Federal Program Manager certifies accuracy of ratings in Part 3 – complete Section (b) |
| Date: 10/30/2019 | Signature of Assessing Official (Contracting Officer or Corporate Official): |

1. **Contracting Officer’s Representative (COR)/Federal Program Manager (FPM) (Complete only if COR/FPM providing signature verification)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name: | Click here to enter text. |
| Title : | Click here to enter text. |
| Agency: | Click here to enter text. |
| Phone: | Click here to enter text. |
| Email: | Click here to enter text. |
| Date: Click here to enter a date. | Signature of Assessing Official (COR/FPM): |

**Part 3: Evaluation Areas (To be filled out by the Assessing Official)**

1. **Quality of Service**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Rating** | **Adjectival**  **Rating** | **Definition** |
|  | **Exceptional** | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government’s benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective. |
|  | **Very Good** | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government’s benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor was effective. |
|  | **Satisfactory** | Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory. |
|  | **Marginal** | Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor’s proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented. |
|  | **Unsatisfactory** | Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains a serious problem(s) for which the contractor’s corrective actions appear or were ineffective. |
|  | **Not Applicable** |  |

**Additional Comments:**

Click here to enter text.

1. **Schedule**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Rating** | **Adjectival**  **Rating** | **Definition** |
|  | **Exceptional** | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government’s benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective. |
|  | **Very Good** | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government’s benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor was effective. |
|  | **Satisfactory** | Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory. |
|  | **Marginal** | Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor’s proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented. |
|  | **Unsatisfactory** | Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains a serious problem(s) for which the contractor’s corrective actions appear or were ineffective. |
|  | **Not Applicable** |  |

**Additional Comments:**

Click here to enter text.

1. **Cost Control**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Rating** | **Adjectival**  **Rating** | **Definition** |
|  | **Exceptional** | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government’s benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective. |
|  | **Very Good** | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government’s benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor was effective. |
|  | **Satisfactory** | Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory. |
|  | **Marginal** | Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor’s proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented. |
|  | **Unsatisfactory** | Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains a serious problem(s) for which the contractor’s corrective actions appear or were ineffective. |
|  | **Not Applicable** |  |

**Additional Comments:**

Click here to enter text.

**(d) Management of Key Personnel**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Rating** | **Adjectival**  **Rating** | **Definition** |
|  | **Exceptional** | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government’s benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective. |
|  | **Very Good** | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government’s benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor was effective. |
|  | **Satisfactory** | Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory. |
|  | **Marginal** | Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor’s proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented. |
|  | **Unsatisfactory** | Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains a serious problem(s) for which the contractor’s corrective actions appear or were ineffective. |
|  | **Not Applicable** |  |

**Additional Comments:**

Click here to enter text.

**(e) Small Business Utilization**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Rating** | **Adjectival**  **Rating** | **Definition** |
|  | **Exceptional** | Exceeded all statutory goals or goals as negotiated. Had exceptional success with initiatives to assist, promote, and utilize small business (SB), small disadvantaged business (SDB), women-owned small business (WOSB), HUBZone small business, veteran-owned small business (VOSB) and service disabled veteran owned small business (SDVOSB). Complied with FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns. Exceeded any other small business participation requirements incorporated in the contract/order, including the use of small businesses in mission critical aspects of the program. Went above and beyond the required elements of the subcontracting plan and other small business requirements of the contract/order. Completed and submitted Individual Subcontract Reports and/or Summary Subcontract Reports in an accurate and timely manner. |
|  | **Very Good** | Met all of the statutory goals or goals as negotiated. Had significant success with initiatives to assist, promote and utilize SB, SDB, WOSB, HUBZone, VOSB, and SDVOSB. Complied with FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns. Met or exceeded any other small business participation requirements incorporated in the contract/order, including the use of small businesses in mission critical aspects of the program. Endeavored to go above and beyond the required elements of the subcontracting plan. Completed and submitted Individual Subcontract Reports and/or Summary Subcontract Reports in an accurate and timely manner. |
|  | **Satisfactory** | Met all of the statutory goals or goals as negotiated. Had significant success with initiatives to assist, promote and utilize SB, SDB, WOSB, HUBZone, VOSB, and SDVOSB. Complied with FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns. Met or exceeded any other small business participation requirements incorporated in the contract/order, including the use of small businesses in mission critical aspects of the program. Endeavored to go above and beyond the required elements of the subcontracting plan. Completed and submitted Individual Subcontract Reports and/or Summary Subcontract Reports in an accurate and timely manner. |
|  | **Marginal** | Deficient in meeting key subcontracting plan elements. Deficient in complying with FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns, and any other small business participation requirements in the contract/order. Did not submit Individual Subcontract Reports and/or Summary Subcontract Reports in an accurate or timely manner. Failed to satisfy one or more requirements of a corrective action plan currently in place; however, does show an interest in bringing performance to a satisfactory level and has demonstrated a commitment to apply the necessary resources to do so. Required a corrective action plan. |
|  | **Unsatisfactory** | Deficient in meeting key subcontracting plan elements. Deficient in complying with FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns, and any other small business participation requirements in the contract/order. Did not submit Individual Subcontract Reports and/or Summary Subcontract Reports in an accurate or timely manner. Failed to satisfy one or more requirements of a corrective action plan currently in place; however, does show an interest in bringing performance to a satisfactory level and has demonstrated a commitment to apply the necessary resources to do so. Required a corrective action plan. |
|  | **Not Applicable** |  |

**Additional Comments:**

Click here to enter text.